Lobbying and Class Rule

by Louis C. Fraina


The righteous spirit, like God Almighty, is here, there, and everywhere. Its wonders pass all understanding. Its all-persuasive power is omnipotent; and if the cynic doubts, behold, even politics is transformed. Norman Hapgood, bursting with ethical conceit, leads the Fusion cohorts against Tammany in the name of “ethical spirit in politics”; and what matters it that the Fusion Committee set a new record of vulgar, dirty politics? The politicians are truly inspiring in their righteous pose condemning governmental ungodliness and corruption — doubtlessly obedient to a guilty impulse. Men steeped in political evil are cleansing themselves white in the blood of the lamb of righteous politics. A veteran scalawag such as “Col.” Martin M. Mulhall pillories himself and his employers as unscrupulous and systematic corruptionists; and does so in the interest of righteous politics — making good his righteous claims by selling his shame for $10,000.

Capitalist government in America now seems to be one damned investigation after another. And to show the progress of civilization, there are no Cassandras moaning through the shame of the exposures, but cunning knaves exploiting the righteous spirit for the conquest of political place and pelf.

When President Wilson issued his broadside against the “insidious lobby” working to defeat his tariff bill, the Senate in a fit of moral indignation started an investigation. The investigation hadn’t proceeded far when overwhelming evidence led Senator James A. Reed, chief cross-examiner of the committee, to issue this statement concerning the activity of “the Interests”:

One — They have opposed the election of men known to be opposed to their plans and desires.

Two — They have secretly given aid and support, financial and moral, to those who have been subservient to their interest.

Three — They have carefully and secretly affected public sentiment through carefully prepared news matter sent out through press bureaus and otherwise disseminated through the press of the country.

Four — With great skill, they have carried on a propaganda with their business connections and by this means sought to influence the votes of Congressmen.

Five — They have maintained lobbyists in Washington whose business it has been not only to undertake to direct a course of legislation and to oppose all inimical legislation, but to undertake to control the election of the committees of Congress.

Six — In one instance, at least, one of these interests, the woolen manufacturers, succeeded in having appointed, as confidential clerk of the Republican members of the finance committee of the Senate, the secretary of the Woolen Manufacturers’ Association, who performed this work so satisfactorily that he was presented by his employers, the woolen manufacturers, with $6,000.

The lobby interests “raised and expended, directly and indirectly, for the purpose of controlling public sentiment and affecting legislation, many thousands of dollars.”

For a time, capitalist apologists found comfort in the belief that “the old-fashioned corporate representative who hung around legislative halls, armed with the all-powerful greenbacks,” had disappeared. And when the Mulhall revelations showed that, while actual bribery may have declined, it was still practiced on an extensive scale.

The Mulhall exposure is a labyrinth of infamy and treachery. Its devious mazes lead from the manufacturers’ offices to the legislative halls, bribing, corrupting, pulling legislative wires.

Mulhall, as the field agent of the National Association of Manufacturers, covertly bought the election of members of Congress obedient to its interests and fought those who were recalcitrant; “made payments of money to legislators who voted on bills as the Asso-
ciation dictated”; bribed minor labor leaders who acted as spies and strikebreakers for the NAM; and bough
employees of the House. The NAM organized an ad-
junct, a paper organization, the National Council for
Industrial Defense, for the special purpose of molding
legislation and breaking strikes. At one time, Presi-
dent Van Cleave proposed raising $500,000 a year for
three years to fight inimical legislation. The NAM
stands exposed as a widely ramified conspiracy against
representative government, using the tremendous
power of organized wealth in the interest of a capital-
ist clique. The vilest feature of all was not bribery, but
the use of social influence, of political hopes, and the
exploitation of ambitions and aspirations entertained
by the men whom the National Association of Manu-
facturers wished to degrade into tools.

A novel and incriminating defense of the NAM
is that its officials were deceived by Mulhall. Undoubt-
edly; Mulhall’s testimony and letters show that he sys-
tematically lied to his employers. But the NAM officials
believed these lies, employed and paid and encour-
aged Mulhall on the basis of these lies. The NAM re-
veals itself not only as an organized band of criminals,
but as a rabble of gullibles. Cheating is ingrained in
the bourgeois; he cheats and is cheated. The bourgeois
is a dealer in gold bricks, and is himself an easy victim
thereof.

Bourgeois radicals have inveighed against the
trust-plutocracy as, in a sense, the only source of gov-
ernmental corruption. And now here is the NAM,
composed of manufacturers not allied with the trust-
plutocracy, and most of them in opposition thereto,
revealing itself as unscrupulous in political knavery
as the trust-plutocracy. The petty bourgeois inveighs in
reality not against corruption, but against the material
interests which the corruption promotes. He uses cor-
rupution whenever necessary and effective for his own
material interests.

Lobbying and corruption are not class measures;
they are clique measures in the interest of one capital-
ist clique against another clique, or of the individual
capitalist.

Lobbying and corruption have their basis in the
multiplicity of conflicting interests within the capital-
ist class, as in the tariff controversy; in the temporary
necessity of bribing legislators in an emergency, as,
when public indignation flares up at an outrage and
threatens calamitous action on the part of honest or
weak-kneed legislators; in the impatient desire of capi-
talists to grab immediately an advantage which could
be secured without bribery in the course of economic
evolution; in the individual capitalist seeking special
privilege, as in the Allds’ bribery case in the New York
legislature; and in the cunning of legislators aware of
how business interest may be imposed upon and
cheated, as in the recent Stilwell scandal, ditto.

But corruption is no more a necessary condition
of class rule than violence is a necessary condition of
proletarian struggle. Both, in a measure, may be un-
avoidable, but they are not inherently necessary.

Corruption and the robbery of the public do-
main were big factors in building vast railway systems;
corruption was a big factor in the formation and power
of the trusts. Corruption thus plays an important part
in plutocratic development; but that development
would have been inevitable even without corruption,
owing to the economic law of motion of capitalist so-
icity.

The United States Supreme Court was a mighty
engine in the development of plutocracy. The Supreme
Court has been remarkably responsive to plutocratic
needs, setting the seal of its approval on some of the
worst acts of economic brigandage. Two years ago the
Supreme Court legislated the “Rule of Reason” into
the Sherman anti-trust law; recently, it asserted, in the
Minnesota rate decision, the supremacy of the federal
government — both actions in the interest of plutoc-
racy. Yet the Supreme Court has never been tainted
with bribery. Social conditions, the spirit of the age,
the inexorable logic of capitalist development, are the
determining factors.

Government is necessarily government of the
capitalists, and of the most powerful capitalist, the plu-
tocracy. Economic power, and not corruption, deter-
mines control of government. Corruption helped to
defeat Bryan and his middle class insurrection; but had
Bryan triumphed, the economic facts and power would
have restored the plutocracy to political supremacy.

Considering the multiplicity of investigations
and the eagerness with which they are instituted, the
innocent observer might conclude that things politi-
cal were never as rotten as they are now, and that the
future will see political purity enthroned. But the righ-
teous spirit in politics is simply the last despairing pro-
test of non-plutocratic capitalists against plutocratic power. When the recalcitrants shall have been bludgeoned into submission on the one hand by superior plutocratic economic power and on the other hand by a mighty Socialist movement, the righteous spirit will have become a phantom of the past. The middle class, more than any other class, translates its economic interests into terms of religion and morality.

The Rome of Nero was vibrant with the moral protests of the dying Roman spirit of old; and historians conclude that Nero’s reign marked the lowest depth of Roman infamy. Ferrero has shown, however, that conditions under succeeding emperors were even more rotten; but the old Roman spirit having been completely crushed, there were no more protests — the Roman Church acquiescing in and profiting by the infamy — and conditions retrospectively appear better. It now seems as if the United States would repeat that phenomenon.

Woodrow Wilson in the role of a Cato the Censor seeks to reintroduce the ideals and institutions of the Fathers. The ghosts of the old morality and democracy haunt plutocracy, but terrify it not at all. Roosevelt urges on his hosts at Armageddon; and impotent poetasters of reaction, such as Sylvester Viereck, hymn the Battle of the Lord. Behind the grotesque mask lurk and leer the petty bourgeois interests, which, once they make peace with the plutocracy, will profit by corruption and immorality.